

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298

**VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL**

February 4, 2026

Janeé Weaver

Lyft, Inc.  
185 Berry Street, Suite 5000  
San Francisco, CA 94107  
[jweaver@lyft.com](mailto:jweaver@lyft.com)

Subject: TNC Access for All Advice Letter 31A Disposition

Dear Janeé Weaver,

Pursuant to Commission Decisions D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, D.21-11-004, and D.23-02-024, the Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division (CPED) of the California Public Utilities Commission has processed your recent Advice Letter (AL) filing and is returning an AL status certificate for your records. This status certificate indicates:

Advice Letter Number  
Name of Filer  
CPUC Corporate ID number of Filer  
Subject of AL Filing  
Date Filed  
Disposition of Filing (Approved, Rejected, Withdrawn, etc.)  
Amount of Approved Offsets by County  
Effective Date of Filing

CPED did not receive any protests against AL 31A.

Please review your advice letter filing with the information contained in the attached AL status certificate and the Appendix for a description of the AL, protest, and staff's disposition. If you have any questions on this matter, please contact CPED Staff via email at [tncaccess@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:tncaccess@cpuc.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joshua Huneycutt".

Joshua Huneycutt  
Program Manager, Transportation Licensing and Analysis Branch  
Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



## Advice Letter Status Certificate

Status of Advice Letter 31A as of February 4, 2026

**Lyft, Inc. (TCP 32513)**

Attention: Janeé Weaver  
185 Berry Street, Suite 5000  
San Francisco, CA 94107

Advice Letter Subject: **Offset for Q3 2025 in compliance with Decisions D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, D.21-11-004, and D.23-02-024**

Division Assigned: Consumer Protection and Enforcement

Date Filed: 10-15-2025 (original), 12-19-2025 (supplemental)

**Disposition: Approved**  
**Effective Date: 02-04-2026**

**Approved Offsets:**

COUNTY	TYPE OF SERVICE	APPROVED OFFSETS (\$)
LOS ANGELES	ON-DEMAND WAV	\$836,135.29
	PRE-SCHEDULED WAV	\$0.00
SAN FRANCISCO	ON-DEMAND WAV	\$292,916.20
	PRE-SCHEDULED WAV	\$4,459.90
<b>TOTAL OFFSET AMOUNT APPROVED</b>		<b>\$1,133,511.39</b>

CPUC Contact Information: [tncaccess@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:tncaccess@cpuc.ca.gov)

TNC Contact Information: Janeé Weaver  
[jweaver@lyft.com](mailto:jweaver@lyft.com)

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



### Appendix: Staff Review and Disposition

#### Background

In accordance with D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, D.21-11-004, and D.23-02-024, Lyft, Inc. (Lyft) filed advice letter (AL) 31 on October 15, 2025 to request offsets against quarterly Access Fund payments for amounts it spent during the Third Quarter (Q3) of 2025 to improve wheelchair accessible vehicle (WAV) service. Lyft submitted a supplement, AL 31A, on December 19, 2025 correcting response time data. No parties filed protests or responses.

This disposition evaluates Lyft's compliance with offset requirements based on the dataset provided.

To qualify for an offset in a geographic area, a TNC must report the following in its quarterly AL filing: (1) presence and availability of WAVs; (2) improved level of service; (3) outreach efforts; (4) accounting of funds expended; (5) training and inspections; and (6) complaints related to WAV service. D.21-11-004 replaced the interim Offset Time Standard (OTS) framework adopted in D.20-03-007 (See Table 2) and replaced the Trip Completion Standard (TCS) framework adopted in D.21-03-005 (See Table 3). Table 1 below summarizes the evaluation criteria adopted in D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, D.21-11-004, and D.23-02-024:

Table 1: Criteria for Evaluating Offsets

<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Must Demonstrate</b>	<b>Satisfied By</b>
<b>1. Presence and availability of WAVs</b>	(a) the number of WAVs in operation - by quarter and aggregated by hour of the day and day of the week, and (b) the unique number of WAVs in operation – by quarter and by hour of the day and day of the week; and (c) the number and percentage of WAV trips completed, not accepted, cancelled by passenger, cancelled due to passenger no-show, and cancelled by driver – by quarter and aggregated by hour of the day and day of the week; (d) the total WAV trips requested and completed broken out by Census Tract; and (e) operating hours for each geographic area	Submission of the relevant data
<b>2a. Improved level of service (on-demand WAVs)<sup>1</sup></b>	Both the Offset Time and the Trip Completion Standards are satisfied:  (a) (1) Offset Time Standard & WAV Response Times: Meet or exceed both the relevant Level 1	Achievement of the Offset Time Standard <sup>2</sup> and Trip

<sup>1</sup> D.21-11-004 Ordering Paragraph 1-3, 6, and 7

<sup>2</sup> D.21-11-004, Ordering Paragraphs 1, 2, 3, and 4

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Evaluation Criteria	Must Demonstrate	Satisfied By
	<p>and Level 2 Offset Time Benchmarks for a given quarter in a given geographic area within the Offset Response time Benchmarks (ORTB). The schedule shall advance each quarter, regardless of whether a TNC submits an Offset Request in that quarter.</p> <p>(b.1) Trip Completion Standard: Meet or exceed the applicable minimum percentage of trip requests completed, and</p> <p>(b.2) Either (i) a greater number of completed trips than in the immediately prior quarter, or (ii) a greater number of completed trips than in the immediately prior year’s same quarter, if sufficient data is available. A TNC may elect to be compared to this prior quarter or prior year’s same quarter, if applicable. The schedule shall advance each quarter, regardless of whether a TNC submits an Offset Request.</p>	<p>Completion Standard<sup>3</sup></p>
<p><b>2b. Improved level of service (pre-scheduled WAVs)<sup>4</sup></b></p>	<p>Both the Pickup Delay Benchmark and the Trip Completion Standards are satisfied:</p> <p>(a) Pickup Delay Standard within the Pickup Delay Benchmark<sup>5</sup>: Meet or exceed both the relevant Response Time Benchmarks for a given quarter in a given geographic area within the Pre-scheduled Pickup Delay Benchmarks (PDB). The schedule shall advance each quarter, regardless of whether a TNC submits an Offset Request in that quarter</p> <p>(b.1) Pre-scheduled Trip Completion Standard<sup>6</sup>: Meet or exceed the applicable minimum percentage of trip requests completed, and</p> <p>(b.2) Either (i) a greater number of completed trips than in the immediately prior quarter, or (ii) a greater number of completed trips than in the immediately prior year’s same quarter, if sufficient data is available. A TNC may elect to be compared to this prior quarter or prior year’s same quarter,</p>	<p>Achievement of the Pickup Delay Benchmark and the Trip Completion Standards</p>

<sup>3</sup> D.21-11-004, Ordering Paragraphs 6, 7, and 8

<sup>4</sup> D.23-02-024 Ordering Paragraph 1-5

<sup>5</sup> D.23-02-024 Ordering Paragraph 4

<sup>6</sup> D.23-02-024 Ordering Paragraph 5

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Evaluation Criteria	Must Demonstrate	Satisfied By
	if applicable. The schedule shall advance each quarter, regardless of whether a TNC submits an Offset Request.	
<b>3. Efforts to publicize and promote available WAV services<sup>7</sup></b>	<p>Evidence of outreach efforts such as a list of partners from disability communities, how the partnership promoted WAV services, and marketing or promotional materials of those activities including but not limited to:</p> <p>(a) Submitted an annual outreach plan (due no later than July 1 of each year),</p> <p>(b) Information about disability access and WAV in general marketing campaigns,</p> <p>(c) Submit a quarterly report for each offset county on the following: Progress made towards implementing the outreach plan; The number of entities contacted; The method, nature, outcome of the contact; the number of partnerships developed; Efforts to publicize and promote WAV service in each offset county and whether efforts were accessible to people with disabilities and limited English proficiency; Educational materials developed and distributed; and outcome of TNCs efforts to outreach and engage wheelchair users. Quarterly Report shall also address the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What methods of engagement did the TNC find most effective and why?</li> <li>2. What common concerns/questions came up during the engagement process?</li> <li>3. What challenges have you encountered? How do you plan to overcome them?</li> </ol>	<p>Achievement of the outreach efforts provided in their annual outreach plan and submission of relevant data</p>
<b>4. Full accounting of funds expended<sup>8</sup></b>	<p>Qualifying offset expenses are:</p> <p>(a) reasonable, legitimate costs that improve a TNC's WAV service, and</p> <p>(b) incurred in the quarter for which a TNC requests an offset, and</p> <p>(c) on the list of eligible expenses<sup>9</sup> attached as Appendix A</p>	<p>Submission of the relevant data</p>

<sup>7</sup> D.20-03-007 Ordering Paragraph 9, and D.23-02-024 Ordering Paragraph 16

<sup>8</sup> D.20-03-007 Ordering Paragraph 10

<sup>9</sup> D.20-03-007, Appendix A

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Evaluation Criteria	Must Demonstrate	Satisfied By
	(d) net of fare revenues collected from WAV service delivery in the quarter for which a TNC requests an offset <sup>10</sup> .	
<b>5. Training and inspections<sup>11</sup></b>	(a) certification of WAV driver training completion within the past 3 years, <sup>12</sup> (b) WAV driver training programs used per geographic area, and the number of WAV drivers that completed WAV training in that quarter, and (c) Certification of WAV inspection and approval <sup>13</sup>	Submission of the relevant data
<b>6. Reporting complaints<sup>14</sup></b>	(a) number of complaints related to WAV drivers or services – by quarter and geographic area, and broken out by category <sup>15</sup>	Submission of the relevant data

The Commission adopted standards for demonstrating improved level of service in D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, and D.21-11-004 (see Table 2 and Table 3 below). The Commission in D.23-02-024 expanded outreach efforts a TNC must demonstrate (see above table “Efforts to publicize and promote available WAV services”) but did not set qualifying standards for the four other evaluation criteria. **As long as a TNC satisfies both the Offset Time and Trip Completion Standards for improved level of service, achieved outreach efforts, and submits all the required data showing WAV presence and availability, accounting of expended funds, training and inspections, and complaints related to WAV service, it is eligible to receive offsets and its advice letter will be approved.**

<sup>10</sup> D.21-11-004 Ordering Paragraph 9

<sup>11</sup> D.20-03-007 Ordering Paragraph 13 and 15(f), 15(g), and 15(h)

<sup>12</sup> Must include: sensitivity training, passenger assistance techniques, accessibility equipment use, door-to-door service, and safety procedures, D.20-03-007, Ordering Paragraph 13.

<sup>13</sup> Should state that WAVs conform with the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Specifications for Transportation Vehicles within the past year, D.20-03-007, Ordering Paragraph 13.

<sup>14</sup> D.20-03-007 Ordering Paragraph 14

<sup>15</sup> Categories include securement issue, driving training, vehicle safety and comfort, service animal issue, stranded passenger, pickup, drop off, and others, D.23-02-024, Ordering Paragraph 13.

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Table 2: On-Demand WAV Offset Response Times and Offset Time Standard

Geographic Area/County	Level 1 WAV Response Time (mins)	Level 2 WAV Response Time (mins)
<b>Group A</b> San Francisco	15	30
<b>Group B</b> San Diego, Santa Clara, Alameda, Sacramento, Contra Costa, Ventura, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Santa Barbara, Solano, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Imperial, Madera, Los Angeles, Orange County, San Mateo	25	50
<b>Group C</b> Riverside, San Bernardino, Fresno, Kern, Sonoma, Tulare, Monterey, Placer, Merced, Marin, Butte, Yolo, El Dorado, Napa, Humboldt, Kings, Nevada, Sutter, Mendocino, Yuba, Lake, Tehama, San Benito, Tuolumne, Calaveras, Siskiyou, Amador, Glenn, Del Norte, Lassen, Colusa, Plumas, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Trinity, Modoc, Sierra, Alpine	30	60

Offset Time Standard (OTS)	Level 1 Offset Service	Level 2 Offset Service
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter Submission	50%	80%
2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter	54%	81%
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	57%	83%
4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	61%	84%
5 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	64%	86%
6 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	68%	87%
7 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	71%	89%
8 <sup>th</sup> (and subsequent) Quarter	75%	90%

Table 3: On-Demand WAV Trip Completion Standard

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Trip Completion Standard	County Group A	County Group B	County Group C
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter Submission	50%	50%	50%
2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter	54%	53%	51%
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	57%	56%	53%
4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	61%	59%	54%
5 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	64%	61%	56%
6 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	68%	64%	57%
7 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	71%	67%	59%
8 <sup>th</sup> (and subsequent) Quarter	75%	70%	60%

Trip Completion Standard	Must meet at least one of:	
	Number of Completed Trips (Option 1)	Number of Completed Trips (Option 2)
Beginning Q2 2022	Improvement (higher) than prior quarter	Improvement (higher) than prior year's same quarter if sufficient data is available.

Table 4: Pre-scheduled WAV Pickup Delay Benchmark and Standard

Geographic Area/County	Pre-scheduled WAV Response Time (mins)
<b>Group A</b> San Francisco	8
<b>Group B</b> San Diego, Santa Clara, Alameda, Sacramento, Contra Costa, Ventura, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Santa Barbara, Solano, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, Shasta, Imperial, Madera, Los Angeles, Orange County, San Mateo	13
<b>Group C</b> Riverside, San Bernardino, Fresno, Kern, Sonoma, Tulare, Monterey, Placer, Merced, Marin, Butte, Yolo, El Dorado, Napa, Humboldt, Kings, Nevada, Sutter, Mendocino, Yuba, Lake, Tehama, San Benito, Tuolumne, Calaveras, Siskiyou, Amador, Glenn, Del Norte, Lassen, Colusa, Plumas, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Trinity, Modoc, Sierra, Alpine	15

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Pre-scheduled Pickup Delay Standards (PDS)	
Percentage of Completed Trips under Pickup Delay Benchmarks	
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter Submission	80%
2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter	81%
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	83%
4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	84%
5 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	86%
6 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	87%
7 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	89%
8 <sup>th</sup> (and subsequent) Quarter	90%

Table 5: Pre-scheduled WAV Trip Completion Standard

Pre-scheduled Trip Completion Standard	County Group A	County Group B	County Group C
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter Submission	90%	80%	65%
2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter	90%	81%	67%
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	90%	82%	70%
4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	90%	83%	73%
5 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	90%	84%	76%
6 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	90%	86%	79%
7 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	90%	88%	82%
8 <sup>th</sup> (and subsequent) Quarter	90%	90%	85%

## Discussion

### A. Offset Requirements for on-demand WAV

To qualify for an offset for on-demand WAV, a TNC must demonstrate improved level of service by satisfying both the Offset Time Standard (OTS) and Trip Completion Standard (TCS) established in Decisions D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, and D.21-11-004. Ordering Paragraph 1 in D.21-11-004 provides the requirements that must be satisfied to meet the OTS:

*To demonstrate improved level of service for offset eligibility, a Transportation Network Company (TNC) must demonstrate that it met or exceeded both the relevant Level 1 and Level 2 Response Time Benchmarks for a given quarter in a given geographic area. The 1<sup>st</sup> quarter percentages shall apply to the first quarter that a TNC submits an Offset Request in a given county. Once the schedule begins for a TNC in a given county, the schedule shall advance each quarter, regardless of whether a TNC submits an Offset Request in that quarter.*

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



This means that a TNC must demonstrate first that it meets Level 1 and 2 response time benchmarks for that county (first test), and second, it must meet the minimum percentage of completed trips beginning the first quarter that a TNC submits an Offset Request in a given county (second test). Once the schedule begins in a given county, the schedule will advance each quarter regardless of whether a TNC submits an Offset Request for that quarter.

Ordering Paragraph 6 in D.21-11-004 replaced the TCS framework adopted in D.21-03-005, effective starting the second quarter of 2022 (applicable to this advice letter), which requires a TNC to meet the minimum percentage of trip requests completed (third test), and increase the number of completed WAV trips (fourth test):

*To demonstrate improved level of service for offset eligibility, a TNC must demonstrate that it met or exceeded:*

*(a) The applicable minimum percentage of trip requests and completed, and*

*(b) Either: (a) a greater number of completed trips than in the immediately prior quarter, or (b) a greater number of completed trips than in the immediately prior year's same quarter, if sufficient data is available.*

*A TNC may elect to be compared to the prior quarter or prior year's same quarter, if applicable.*

**B. Offset Requirements for pre-scheduled WAV**

To qualify for an offset for pre-scheduled WAV, a TNC must demonstrate improved level of service by satisfying both the Pickup Delay Standard (PDS) within the Pickup Delay Benchmarks and Pre-scheduled Trip Completion Standard (PTCS) established in Decisions D.23-02-024. Ordering Paragraph 4 in D.23-02-024 provides the requirements that must be satisfied to meet the PDS:

*To demonstrate improved level of service for pre-scheduled wheelchair accessible vehicle (WAV) trips, a transportation network company (TNC) shall demonstrate it met the relevant Pickup Delay Standard (PDS) within the Pickup Delay Benchmark (PDB) for a given quarter and geographic area. The 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter submission benchmark shall apply in the first quarter that a TNC applies for offsets for pre-scheduled WAV service.*

This means that a TNC must demonstrate first that it meets response time benchmarks for that county (first test), and second, it must meet the minimum percentage of completed pre-scheduled WAV trips beginning the first quarter that a TNC submits an Offset Request in a given county (second test). Once the schedule begins in a given county, the schedule will advance each quarter regardless of whether a TNC submits an Offset Request for that quarter.

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Ordering Paragraph 5 in D.23-02-024 requires a TNC to meet the minimum percentage of pre-scheduled WAV trip requests completed (third test), and increase the number of completed pre-scheduled WAV trips (fourth test):

*To demonstrate improved level of service for pre-scheduled wheelchair accessible vehicle (WAV) trips, a TNC must demonstrate that it has met the Pre-scheduled Trip Completion Standard (PTCS) for a given quarter and geographic area, as follows:*

*(a) The applicable minimum percentage of trip requests completed, and*

*(b) Either: (1) a greater number of completed trips than in the immediately prior quarter, or (2) a greater number of completed trips than in the immediately prior year's same quarter, if sufficient data is available.*

**C. Review of Offset Requests**

Lyft submitted AL 31 on October 15, 2025, requesting offsets for on-demand WAV service in Q3 2025 for services in Los Angeles and San Francisco Counties, and submitted a supplement, AL 31A, on December 19, 2025 correcting response time data. Lyft's AL 31A requested offsets for on-demand WAV service in Q3 2025 totaling \$297,376.10 for service in San Francisco County and \$836,135.29 for service in Los Angeles County. Table 6 and Table 7 below summarize the Q3 2025 Offset Time Standard (response times and OTS percentages), while Table 8 and Table 9 summarizes the Trip Completion Standard (percentages and numbers) reported for the geographic area eligible for offsets.

The review of AL 31A showed that Lyft's on-demand WAV service satisfied both the first and second tests as its response times and OTS percentages in San Francisco and Los Angeles Counties met the Level 1 and 2 benchmarks (see Table 6 and Table 7). It also satisfied the third and fourth tests in San Francisco and Los Angeles Counties as the percentage of trip request that were ultimately completed met the minimum benchmarks, and the number of completed trips in San Francisco and Los Angeles Counties resulted in a greater number of completed trips compared to the previous quarter and the prior year's same quarter (see Table 8 and Table 9).

Furthermore, the review of AL 31A shows that Lyft's pre-scheduled WAV service satisfied the Trip Completion Standard benchmark in San Francisco County but not Los Angeles County (see Table 12) and did show an improved number of trips completed in San Francisco and Los Angeles Counties (see Table 13). Lyft satisfied the Pickup Delay Benchmark and pre-scheduled Response Time Benchmark in San Francisco County (see Table 10 and 11) but did not satisfy either benchmark in Los Angeles County. Overall, this means that Lyft has met the requirements for pre-scheduled WAV service in San Francisco County but not Los Angeles County. Lyft did not request offsets for pre-scheduled expenses in Los Angeles County.

Finally, Lyft satisfied the other requirements by submitting the required information regarding WAV presence and availability, outreach efforts, full accounting of funds expended, complaints related to WAV service, and training and inspections.

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298

**D. Disposition of AL 31A**

After review of AL 31A, Staff concludes that Lyft complied with the offset eligibility requirements for on-demand WAV service for San Francisco County and Los Angeles County, has met the offset eligibility requirements for pre-scheduled WAV service in San Francisco County, and has not met the offset eligibility requirements for pre-scheduled WAV service in Los Angeles per D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, D.21-11-004, and D.23-02-024. Therefore, Lyft's AL 31A is approved, effective February 4, 2026. The approved total offset amount is \$1,133,511.39. Consistent with D.20-03-007, Lyft shall submit the Q3 2025 Quarterly Report for San Francisco and Los Angeles Counties within 7 days following the issuance of this disposition.

Table 6: Lyft's On-Demand WAV Level 1 and 2 Response Times (minutes) by County in Q3 2025

County	Benchmark (minutes)		Q3 2025 (minutes)		Within Benchmark?
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 1	Level 2	
LOS ANGELES	25	50	21.5	33.1	Yes (Level 1 and 2)
SAN FRANCISCO	15	30	13.0	17.5	Yes (Level 1 and 2)

Table 7: Lyft's On-Demand WAV Level 1 and 2 Offset Time Standards (percent) by County in Q3 2025

County	# Quarter Submission	Benchmark (OTS %)		Q3 2025 (OTS %)		Meeting or Exceeding %?
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 1	Level 2	
LOS ANGELES	14 <sup>th</sup>	75%	90%	80.5%	98.7%	Yes (Level 1 and 2)
SAN FRANCISCO	14 <sup>th</sup>	75%	90%	83.7%	99.2%	Yes (Level 1 and 2)

Table 8: Lyft's On-Demand WAV Trip Completion Standards by County in Q3 2025 (part b.1)

County	# Quarter Submission	Benchmark (TCS)		Q3 2025 (TCS %)	Meeting the Minimum %?
		County	TCS (%)		
LOS ANGELES	14 <sup>th</sup>	B	70%	78.7%	Yes
SAN FRANCISCO	14 <sup>th</sup>	A	75%	88.9%	Yes

Table 9: Lyft's On-Demand WAV Trip Completion Standards by County in Q3 2025 (part b.2)

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



County	Option 1 or 2	(1) # of completed trips previous quarter	(2) # of completed trips in the immediately prior year's same quarter	(1) # of completed trips this quarter	(2) # of completed trips this quarter	Meeting or Exceeding #?
LOS ANGELES	2	6,380	6,938	7,433	7,433	Yes (1 & 2)
SAN FRANCISCO	2	1,035	810	1,234	1,234	Yes (1 & 2)

Table 10: Lyft's Pre-scheduled WAV Response Times (minutes) by County in Q3 2025

County	Benchmark (PDB minutes)	Q3 2025 (minutes)	Within Benchmark?
LOS ANGELES	13	18.5	No
SAN FRANCISCO	8	7.8	Yes

Table 11: Lyft's Pre-scheduled WAV Pickup Delay Standards (percent) by County in Q3 2025

County	# Quarter Submission	Benchmark (PDS %)	Q3 2025 (PDS %)	Meeting or Exceeding %?
LOS ANGELES	9 <sup>th</sup>	90%	85.1%	No
SAN FRANCISCO	9 <sup>th</sup>	90%	90.7%	Yes

Table 12: Lyft's Pre-scheduled WAV Trip Completion Standards by County in Q3 2025 (part 2b. b.1)

County	# Quarter Submission	Benchmark (PTCS)		Q3 2025 (PTCS %)	Meeting the Minimum %?
		County	TCS (%)		
LOS ANGELES	9 <sup>th</sup>	B	90%	88.6%	No
SAN FRANCISCO	9 <sup>th</sup>	A	90%	90.0%	Yes

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Table 13: Lyft's Pre-scheduled WAV Trip Completion Standards by County in Q3 2025 (part 2b. b.2)

County	Option 1 or 2	(1) # of completed trips previous quarter	(2) # of completed trips in the immediately prior year's same quarter	(1) # of completed trips this quarter	(2) # of completed trips this quarter	Meeting or Exceeding #?
LOS ANGELES	2	772	236	834	834	Yes (1 & 2)
SAN FRANCISCO	2	53	12	108	108	Yes (1 & 2)