

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



December 26, 2019

CA2019-851

Ross Johnson
Area Manager Regulatory Relations
AT&T North
430 Bush St. Suite #105
San Francisco, CA 94108

SUBJECT: CIP Audit of AT&T North – Butte/Yuba

Dear Mr. Johnson:

On behalf of the Electric Safety and Reliability Branch (ESRB) of the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), Brandon Vazquez, Ivan Garcia, Stephen Lee, Samuel Mandell, Andie Biggs, and Ogeonye Enyinwa of ESRB conducted a Communication Infrastructure Provider (CIP) audit of AT&T North – Butte/Yuba from October 28, 2019 to November 1, 2019. The audit included a review of AT&T's procedures, records, and field inspections of its communication facilities.

During the audit, my staff identified violations of one or more General Orders (GOs). A copy of the audit findings itemizing the violations is enclosed. Please provide a response no later than January 27, 2019, by electronic or hard copy of all corrective actions and preventive measures taken by AT&T to correct the identified violations and prevent the recurrence of such violations.

If you have any questions concerning this audit, please contact Brandon Vazquez at (415) 703-1076 or brandon.vazquez@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Banu Acimis, P.E.
Program and Project Supervisor
Electric Safety and Reliability Branch
Safety and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission

Enclosure: CPUC Audit Findings

Cc: Lee Palmer, Director, Safety and Enforcement Division, CPUC
Charlotte TerKeurst, Program Manager, ESRB, SED, CPUC
Nathan Sarina, Senior Utilities Engineer (Supervisor), ESRB, SED, CPUC
Rickey Tse, Senior Utilities Engineer (Supervisor), ESRB, SED, CPUC
Brandon Vazquez, Utilities Engineer, ESRB, SED, CPUC
Ivan Garcia, Utilities Engineer, ESRB, SED, CPUC
Stephen Lee, Utilities Engineer, ESRB, SED, CPUC

Samuel Mandell, Utilities Engineer, ESRB, SED, CPUC
Andie Biggs, Utilities Engineer, ESRB, SED, CPUC
Ogeonye Enyinwa, Utilities Engineer, ESRB, SED, CPUC

AUDIT FINDINGS

I. Records Review

During the audit, ESRB staff reviewed the following records:

- AT&T's General Order 95 and General Order 128 inspection program.
- Patrol and detailed-inspection records for the last five years.
- Work order records for overhead and underground AT&T facilities.
- Third Party Safety Hazard notifications for the last five years.
- Pole loading and safety factor calculations completed in the last twelve months.

II. Field Inspection

During the field inspection, ESRB staff inspected the following facilities:

Location	Structure Type	Address	Latitude, Longitude	City
1	Pole	3rd Pole from the Intersection of Stilson Canyon Rd and Humboldt Rd	39.739350, -121.785239	Chico
2	Pole	2nd Pole from the Intersection of Stilson Canyon Rd and Humboldt Rd	39.739177, -121.785803	Chico
3	Pole	1st Pole from the Intersection of Stilson Canyon Rd and Humboldt Rd	39.739159, -121.785901	Chico
4	Pole	Humboldt Rd, near transmission tower	39.739171, -121.791903	Chico
5	Pole	2nd Pole from Humboldt Rd Transmission Tower	39.739209, -121.792236	Chico
6	Pole	3rd Pole from Humboldt Rd Transmission Tower	39.739313, -121.793191	Chico
7	Pole	Stilson Canyon Road	39.7369910, -121.7809680	Chico
8	Pole	Stilson Canyon Road	39.7365550, -121.7795490	Chico
9	Pole	Stilson Canyon Road	39.7361540, -121.7781250	Chico
10	Pole	Intersection of Potter Rd and Skyway Rd	39.7132820, -121.7779410	Chico
11	Pole	Intersection of Potter Rd and Skyway Rd	39.7133850, -121.7778880	Chico
12	Pole	Along Skyway Rd	39.7131290, -121.7772740	Chico
13	Pole	Skyway Rd	39.7129150, -121.7766530	Chico
14	Pole	Skyway Rd	39.7126820, -121.7760260	Chico
15	Pole	Skyway Rd	39.7122220, -121.7753320	Chico
16	Pole	Intersection of Skyway Rd and Honey Run Rd	39.7118030, -121.7747400	Chico
17	Pole	Intersection of Skyway Rd and Honey Run Rd	39.7117930, -121.7745660	Chico
18	Pole	Along Honey Run Rd	39.7117610, -121.7740990	Chico
19	Pole	Horse Run Lane	39.7098520, -121.7669640	Chico

20	Pole	Horse Run Lane	39.7094940, - 121.7668890	Chico
21	Pole	Horse Run Lane, across the bridge	39.7088660, - 121.7669100	Chico
22	Pole	2174 Ceres Ave	39.7555260, - 121.8337530	Chico
23	Pole	Between 2174 and 2166 Ceres Ave	39.7552020, - 121.8337790	Chico
24	Pole	2150 Ceres Ave	39.7552020, - 121.8337790	Chico
25	Pole	2144 Ceres Ave	39.7542030, - 121.8338110	Chico
26	Pole	2165 Ceres Ave	39.7549960, - 121.8340620	Chico
27	Pole	371 E 7th St	39.72832, - 121.83452	Chico
28	Pole	Intersection of E 7th St and Fume St	39.72835, - 121.83415	Chico
29	Pole	722 Fume St	39.72803, - 121.83461	Chico
30	Pole	600 Fume St	39.72831, - 121.83487	Chico
31	Pole	491 Galen Ridge	39.66210, - 121.38852	Berry Creek
32	Pole	36 Skyline Way	39.50485, - 121.48215	Oroville
33	Pole	72 Skyline Way	39.50436, - 121.48210	Oroville
34	Pole	72 Skyline Way	39.50429, - 121.48256	Oroville
35	Pole	26 Skyline Way	39.50544, - 121.48223	Oroville
36	Pole	Intersection of Skyline Way and Skyline Blvd	39.50603, - 121.48235	Oroville
37	Pole	156 Skyline Blvd	39.50605, - 121.48278	Oroville
38	Pole	Intersection of Skyline Blvd and La Mirada Ave	39.50627, - 121.48387	Oroville
39	Pole	Intersection of Skyline Blvd and La Mirada Ave	39.50634, - 121.48377	Oroville
40	Pole	Intersection of Skyline Blvd and La Mirada Ave	39.50639, - 121.48407	Oroville
41	Pole	35 Sunset View Ln	39.50487, - 121.47964	Oroville
42	Pole	Intersection of Sunset View Ln and Skyline Blvd	39.50489, - 121.47894	Oroville
43	Pole	48 Sunset View Ln	39.50444, - 121.47807	Oroville

44	Pole	Swedes Flat Rd	39.44321, - 121.43291	Oroville
45	Pole	Intersection of Swedes Flat Rd and Oro Bangor Hwy	39.44321, - 121.43309	Oroville
46	Pole	Intersection of Swedes Flat Rd and Oro Bangor Hwy	39.44309, - 121.43318	Oroville
47	Pole	Oro Bangor Hwy	39.44235, - 121.43249	Oroville
48	Pole	Oro Bangor Hwy	39.45810, - 121.44793	Oroville
49	Pole	5431 Oro Bangor Hwy	39.45774, - 121.44688	Oroville
50	Pole	5431 Oro Bangor Hwy, near Hwy Marker "10662 BUT. 550"	39.45746, - 121.44612	Oroville
51	Pole	7582 La Porte Rd	39.43659, - 121.32713	Bangor
52	Pole	West of 7582 La Porte Rd	39.43661, - 121.32750	Bangor
53	Pole	7582 La Porte Rd	39.43671, - 121.32819	Bangor
54	Pole	Front of 7573 La Porte Rd	39.43678, - 121.32872	Bangor
55	Pole	7580 La Porte Rd	39.43684, - 121.32936	Bangor
56	Pole	7807 La Porte Rd	39.440087, - 121.319054	Bangor
57	Pole	7807 La Porte Rd	39.439725, - 121.319731	Bangor
58	Pole	7807 La Porte Rd	39.439335, - 121.320334	Bangor
59	Pole	6800 La Porte Rd	39.424685, - 121.356819	Bangor
60	Pole	6800 La Porte Rd	39.424486, - 121.357539	Bangor
61	Pole	6799 La Porte Rd	39.424300, - 121.358051	Bangor
62	Pole	6799 La Porte Rd, near Hwy Marker "02653 BUT. 1250"	39.42471, - 121.35654	Bangor
63	Pole	6807 La Porte Rd	39.42495, - 121.35612	Bangor
64	Pole	6807 La Porte Rd	39.42507, - 121.35574	Bangor
65	Pole	689 Upham Rd	39.43777, - 121.35249	Bangor
66	Pole	689 Upham Rd, to the Left of the gate	39.43772, - 121.35298	Bangor
67	Pole	Right of 680 Upham Rd	39.43757, - 121.35391	Bangor

68	Pole	4607 Olive Hwy	39.51028, - 121.48897	Oroville
69	Pole	4591 Oro Quincy Hwy	39.51015, - 121.48961	Oroville
70	Pole	Intersection of Oro Dam E and Highlands Blvd	39.51811, - 121.53200	Oroville
71	Pole	Oro Dam Blvd E	39.51811, - 121.53248	Oroville
72	Pole	Oro Dam Blvd E	39.51811, - 121.53282	Oroville
73	Pole	3646 Oro Dam Blvd E	39.51811, - 121.53330	Oroville
74	Pole	Intersection of Royal Oaks Dr and Oak Hills Dr	39.52709, - 121.47742	Oroville
75	Pole	2 La Forte Ct	39.52696, - 121.47701	Oroville
76	Pole	1 La Forte Ct	39.52678, - 121.47673	Oroville
77	Pole	4748 Royal Oaks Drive	39.52579, - 121.47816	Oroville
78	Pole	209 Solana Drive	39.52556, - 121.47798	Oroville
79	Pole	Solana Drive	39.52507, - 121.47790	Oroville
80	Pole	190 Solana Drive	39.524512, - 121.477813	Oroville
81	Pole	180 Solana Drive	39.52424, - 121.47757	Oroville
82	Pole	Intersection of Solana Dr and Driveway up to 175 Solana Drive	39.52404, - 121.47686	Oroville
83	Pole	175 Solana Dr	39.52495, - 121.47680	Oroville
84	Pole	Intersection of Candy Dr and Bessie Lane	39.52385, - 121.48420	Oroville
85	Pole	Near the intersection of Candy Dr and Bessie Lane	39.52399, - 121.48449	Oroville
86	Pole	Across from 50 Bessie Lane	39.52454, - 121.48408	Oroville
87	Pole	15 Bessie Lane	39.52498, - 121.48376	Oroville
88	Pole	1622 C Street	39.15334, - 121.58940	Marysville
89	Pole	Near the intersection of C street and 17th Street	39.15376, - 121.58949	Marysville
90	Pole	197 C Street	39.15393, - 121.58951	Marysville

91	Pole	1720 C Street	39.15426, - 121.58955	Marysville
92	Pole	913 6th Street	39.14070, - 121.59715	Marysville
93	Pole	829 6th Street	39.14075, - 121.59637	Marysville
94	Pole	818 6th Street	39.14079, - 121.59604	Marysville
95	Pole	519 I Street (Pole near Lemon St)	39.14038, - 121.59592	Marysville
96	Pole	819 5th Street	39.14005, - 121.59590	Marysville
97	Pole	819 6th Street (Pole on Lemon St)	39.14135, - 121.59607	Marysville
98	Pole	822 7th Street (Pole on Lemon St)	39.141663, - 121.596158	Marysville
99	Pole	Front of 822 7th Street	39.141912, - 121.596194	Marysville
100	Pole	5875 Garden Ave	39.12498, - 121.58157	Olivehurst
101	Pole	915 Cedar Lane	39.12480, - 121.58163	Olivehurst
102	Pole	912 Cedar Lane	39.12468, - 121.58139	Olivehurst
103	Pole	5854 Garden Ave	39.12430, - 121.58087	Olivehurst
104	Pole	901 Cedar Lane	39.12442, - 121.58206	Olivehurst
105	Pole	893 Cedar Lane	39.12394, - 121.58262	Olivehurst
106	Pole	892 Cedar Lane	39.12383, - 121.58257	Olivehurst

III. Field Inspection Violations

ESRB staff observed the following violations during the field inspection:

1. GO 95, Rule 56.2, Overhead Guys, Anchor Guys and Span Wires, Use states in part:

“Guys shall be attached to structures, as nearly as practicable, at the center of load. They shall be maintained taut and of such strength as to meet the safety factors of Rule 44.”

1.1) The overhead guy and anchor guy on the 3rd pole from the intersection of Stilson Canyon Rd and Humboldt Rd in Chico (Location 1) are slacked.

1.2) Two anchor guys on the pole on Humboldt Rd in Chico (Location 4) are slacked.

1.3) The anchor guy on the pole near 491 Galen Ridge Rd in Berry Creek (Location 31) is slacked.

1.4) The anchor guy on the pole at 5431 Oro Bangor Hwy in Oroville (Location 50) is slacked.

1.5) The anchor guy on the pole at 6807 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 63) is slacked.

1.6) The anchor guy on the pole at 4591 Oro Quincy Hwy in Oroville (Location 69) is slacked.

2. GO 95, 87.7-D1, Risers, Covered from Ground Level to 8 Feet above the Ground states:

“Risers shall be protected from the ground level to a level not less than 8 feet above the ground by:

a) Securely or effectively grounded iron or steel pipe (or other covering at least of equal strength). When metallic sheathed cable rising from underground non-metallic conduit is protected by metallic pipe or moulding, such pipe or moulding shall be effectively grounded as specified in Rule 21.4-A, or

b) Non-metallic conduit or rigid U-shaped moulding. Such conduit or moulding shall be of material as specified in Rule 22.8”

2.1) The riser cover on the 2nd pole from the intersection of Stilson Canyon Rd and Humboldt Rd in Chico (Location 2) is loose at the top causing a riser cable to be exposed.

2.2) The riser cables on the pole at the intersection of Potter Rd and Skyway Rd in Chico (Location 11) are not covered.

2.3) Two riser cables on the pole on Horse Run Ln in Chico (Location 19) are not properly secured by the riser cover.

2.4) The riser cover on the pole on Horse Run Ln in Chico (Location 20) is under 8 feet.

2.5) The riser cover on the pole at 7582 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 51) is not properly secured.

2.6) The riser cables on the pole on Oro Dam Blvd E in Oroville (Location 71) are not covered.

2.7) The riser covers on the pole at 818 6th St in Marysville (Location 94) are not properly secured.

3. GO 95, Rule 31.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance states in part:

“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service.”

3.1) The guy anchor on the 1st pole from the intersection of Stilson Canyon Rd and Humboldt Rd in Chico (Location 3) is buried.

3.2) The lashing wire midspan between the 2nd and 3rd poles from Humboldt Rd in Chico (Locations 5-6) is loose.

3.3) AT&T’s facilities need to be transferred to the new pole on the intersection of Potter Rd and Skyway Rd in Chico (Location 10).

3.4) At location 16, the lashing wire is broken and AT&T’s facilities need to be transferred to the new pole on the intersection of Skyway Rd and Honey Run Rd in Chico.

3.5) The lashing wire at 2174 Ceres Ave in Chico (Location 22) is broken.

3.6) The pole at 36 Skyline Way in Oroville (Location 32) is leaning more than 10%.

3.7) The splice box at 26 Skyline Way in Oroville (Location 35) is damaged.

3.8) AT&T’s facilities need to be transferred to the new pole at the intersection of Skyline Blvd and La Mirada Ave in Oroville (Location 40).

3.9) The lashing wire at the intersection of Sunset View Ln and Skyline Blvd in Oroville (Location 42) is broken.

3.10) The lashing wire west of 7582 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 52) is broken.

3.11) The load coil at 6799 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 61) is not properly secured.

3.12) The splice box cover at 6807 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 63) is coming off.

3.13) The splice box near 689 Upham Rd in Bangor (Location 66) is damaged and filled with acorns.

3.14) AT&T's facilities need to be transferred to the new pole near 4591 Oro Quincy Hwy in Oroville (Location 69).

3.15) The lashing wire at 4748 Royal Oaks Dr in Oroville (Location 77) is broken.

3.16) AT&T's facilities need to be transferred to the new pole at 822 7th St in Marysville (Location 98).

4. GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, Table 2 Case 8C states in part:

“Minimum allowable vertical separation between conductors and/or cables, on supports at different levels on the same pole and in adjoining midspans for communication conductors is 12 inches.”

4.1) A Comcast splice box is in contact with an AT&T cable on Humboldt Rd in Chico (Location 4).

4.2) AT&T's cable span is in contact with Comcast's cable span on Skyway Rd in Chico (Location 12).

4.3) AT&T's cable span is in contact with Comcast's cable span on Skyway Rd in Chico (Location 14).

4.4) AT&T's cable span in contact with Comcast's cable span near 35 Sunset View Ln in Oroville (Location 41).

4.5) Vegetation is causing AT&T conductor-to-conductor clearance issues at 7582 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 53).

4.6) Vegetation is causing AT&T conductor-to-conductor to contact at 7807 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 56).

5. GO 95, Rule 86.9, Guy Marker (Guy Guard) states:

“A substantial marker of suitable material, including but not limited to metal or plastic, not less than 8 feet in length, shall be securely attached to all anchor guys. Where more than one guy is attached to an anchor rod, only the outermost guy is required to have a marker.”

5.1) The anchor guy on Skyway Rd in Chico (Location 14) is missing a guy marker.

5.2) The anchor guy at 6807 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 63) is missing a guy marker.

6. GO 95, Rule 35, Vegetation Management states in part:

“Communication and electric supply circuits, energized at 750 volts or less, including their service drops, should be kept clear of vegetation in new construction and when circuits are reconstructed or repaired, whenever practicable. When a supply or communication company has actual knowledge, obtained either through normal operating practices or notification to the company, that its circuit energized at 750 volts or less shows strain or evidences abrasion from vegetation contact, the condition shall be corrected by reducing conductor tension, rearranging or replacing the conductor, pruning the vegetation, or placing mechanical protection on the conductor(s). For the purpose of this rule, abrasion is defined as damage to the insulation resulting from the friction between the vegetation and conductor. Scuffing or polishing of the insulation or covering is not considered abrasion. Strain on a conductor is present when vegetation contact significantly compromises the structural integrity of supply or communication facilities. Contact between vegetation and conductors, in and of itself, does not constitute a nonconformance with the rule.”

- 6.1) There is a tree branch suspended on AT&T’s cable at 2174 Ceres Ave in Chico (Location 22).
- 6.2) There is vegetation strain on AT&T’s cable at 7582 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 53).
- 6.3) There is vegetation strain on AT&T’s cable at 7573 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 54).
- 6.4) The messenger wire is running through the tree at 6800 La Porte Rd in Bangor (Location 60).
- 6.5) There is vegetation strain and abrasion on AT&T’s cable located across from 50 Bessie Ln in Oroville (Location 86).
- 6.6) There is a tree branch suspended on AT&T’s messenger at 519 I St in Marysville (Location 95).

7. GO 95, Rule 86.4-C. Guys, Clearances from Conductors Passing on same Poles states in part:

“The radial clearances between guys and conductors supported by or attached to the same poles or crossarms shall be not less than as specified in Table 2, Case 19 except that the clearance between guys and communication messenger and/or cable attached directly to surface of pole may be less than the 3 inches specified in Table 2, Case 19, Column C provided: the guy is not a guy in proximity, or all parts of the guy are not less than 6 feet below 0 - 750 volt supply conductors supported on same pole, and a wood guard or equivalent is placed on the messenger and/or cable”

- 7.1) AT&T’s overhead guy is in contact with a Comcast cable at 2144 Ceres Ave in Chico (Location 25).

7.2) AT&T's anchor guy is in contact with a Comcast service line at the intersection of Skyline Blvd and La Mirada Ave in Oroville (Location 40).

8. GO 95, Rule 37, Minimum Clearances of Wires above Railroads, Thoroughfares, Buildings, Etc., Table 1, Case 5B states in part:

“The basic minimum allowable vertical clearance of a communication conductor, supply service drop in an above ground areas accessible to pedestrians only is 10 feet.”

AT&T's service drop at 36 Skyline Way in Oroville (Location 32) is low due to a leaning AT&T pole.

9. GO 95, Rule 37, Minimum Clearances of Wires above Railroads, Thoroughfares, Buildings, Etc., Table 1, Case 4B states in part:

“The basic minimum allowable vertical clearance of communication conductors above ground along thoroughfares in rural districts or across other areas capable of being traversed by vehicles or agricultural equipment is 15 feet.”

9.1) AT&T's conductor span at 35 Sunset View Ln in Oroville (Location 41) was measured at 11 feet 5 inches.

9.2) AT&T's conductor span at 5431 Oro Bangor Hwy in Oroville (Location 49) was measured at 7 feet 6 inches.

9.3) AT&T's conductor span at the intersection of Royal Oaks Dr and Oak Hills Dr in Oroville (Location 74) was measured at 14 feet 10 inches.

9.4) AT&T's service drop at 175 Solana Dr in Oroville (Location 83) was measured to be less than 10 feet.

10. GO 95, Rule 31.6, Abandoned Lines states:

“Lines or portions of lines permanently abandoned shall be removed by their owners so that such lines shall not become a public nuisance or a hazard to life or property. For the purposes of this rule, lines that are permanently abandoned shall be defined as those lines that are determined by their owner to have no foreseeable future use.”

There are abandoned idle AT&T facilities on the pole at 892 Cedar Ln in Olivehurst (Location 106).

11. GO 95, Rule 18, Reporting and Resolution of Safety Hazards Discovered by Utilities states in part:

“For purposes of this rule, “Safety Hazard” means a condition that poses a significant threat to human life or property...”

GO 95, Rule 18A, Resolution of Potential Violations of General Order 95 and Safety Hazards states in part:

“(3) If a company, while performing inspections of its facilities, discovers a Safety Hazard(s) on or near a communications facility or electric facility involving another company, the inspecting company shall notify the other entity of such Safety Hazard(s) no later than ten (10) business days after the discovery.

(4) To the extent a company that has a notification requirement under (2) or (3) above cannot determine the facility owner/operator, it shall contact the pole owner(s) within ten (10) business days if the subject of the notification is a Safety Hazard, or otherwise within a reasonable amount of time not to exceed 180 days after discovery. The notified pole owner(s) shall be responsible for promptly (normally not to exceed five business days) notifying the company owning/operating the facility if the subject of the notification is a Safety Hazard, or otherwise within a reasonable amount of time not to exceed 180 days, after being notified of the potential violation of GO95.

Note: Each pole owner must be able to determine all other pole owners on poles it owns. Each pole owner must be able to determine all authorized entities that attach equipment on its portion of a pole.”

11.1) Comcast’s facilities need to be transferred to the new pole at the intersection of Potter Rd and Skyway Rd in Chico (Location 10).

11.2) There is vegetation contact above the PG&E anchor guy insulator on Horse Run Ln in Chico (Location 19).

11.3) A Comcast cable has vegetation abrasion on Horse Run Ln in Chico (Location 20).

11.4) A Comcast cable has vegetation abrasion at 600 Fume St in Chico (Location 30).

11.5) A Comcast cable has vegetation abrasion at 156 Skyline Blvd in Oroville (Location 37).

11.6) A PG&E ground wire on the pole at the intersection of Skyline Blvd and La Mirada Ave in Oroville (Location 38) is abandoned.

11.7) Comcast’s facilities need to be transferred to the new pole at the intersection of Skyline Blvd and La Mirada Ave in Oroville (Location 40).

11.8) PG&E’s anchor guy is in contact with PG&E’s secondary crossarm on the pole at 48 Sunset View Ln in Oroville (Location 43).

11.9) PG&E’s anchor guy on the pole at 5431 Oro Bangor Hwy in Oroville (Location 50) is slacked.

11.10) The pole top on the PG&E joint service pole at 180 Solana Dr in Oroville (Location 81) has significant rot.

11.11) The Comcast riser cover on the pole at 197 C St in Marysville (Location 90) is under 8 feet and not properly secured.

11.12) The Comcast ground wire on the pole at 519 I St in Marysville (Location 95) is not covered.

11.13) There is an abandoned Comcast service drop and a low Comcast service drop at 819 6th St in Marysville (Location 97).

11.14) Comcast's facilities need to be transferred to the new pole at 822 7th St in Marysville (Location 98).

11.15) The Comcast ground wire on the pole in front of 822 7th St in Marysville (Location 99) is exposed.

11.16) The PG&E joint pole at 5875 Garden Ave in Olivehurst (Location 100) has significant damage, wood loss, pole top rot.

11.17) The Comcast ground wire on the PG&E joint pole at 5875 Garden Ave in Olivehurst (Location 100) is damaged.

11.18) Vegetation has grown into the PG&E joint service pole at 5854 Garden Ave in Olivehurst (Location 103), which has caused the pole to lean significantly.

11.19) The pole step on the PG&E pole at 893 Cedar Ln in Olivehurst (Location 105) is under 8 feet.