

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



February 5, 2025

Austin Hastings
Vice President, Gas Engineering
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Gas Transmission and Distribution Operations
6121 Bollinger Canyon Road
San Ramon, CA 94583

GI-2024-12-PGE-29

SUBJECT: General Order (GO) 112-F Gas Inspection of PG&E's Control Room Management Program

Dear Mr. Hastings:

On behalf of the Safety and Enforcement Division (SED) of the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), James Zhang, Michelle Wei, Nicholas Peno, Aleksandr Fastovich, and Humna Afzel conducted a General Order 112-F inspection of Pacific Gas & Electric Company's (PG&E) Control Room Management Program from December 02 to December 06, 2024.

SED has identified one violation and six concerns which are described in the "Post-Inspection Written Findings". SED's findings are noted in the attached Post-Inspection Written Findings (Summary) section of this letter. The Summary reflects only those particular records and pipeline facilities that SED inspected during the inspection.

Within 30 days of your receipt of this letter, please provide a written response indicating the measures taken by PG&E to address the violations and concerns noted in the Summary.

If you have any questions, please contact James Zhang at (415) 603-1310 or by email at james.zhang@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Terence Eng".

Terence Eng, P.E.
Program Manager
Gas Safety and Reliability Branch
Safety and Enforcement Division

Enclosure: Post-Inspection Written Preliminary Findings

cc: Brian Stout, PG&E Gas Regulatory Compliance
Rosa Duenas, PG&E Gas Regulatory Compliance
Frances Yee, PG&E
Kristina Castrence, PG&E
Dennis Lee, SED
Claudia Almengor, SED

Post-Inspection Written Findings

Dates of Inspection: 12/02/2024 – 12/06/2024

Operator: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO

Operator ID: 15007 (primary)

Inspection Systems: Control Room

Assets (Unit IDs) with results in this report: Main Office (Specialized Inspections) (86283)

System Type: Control Room

Inspection Name: 2024 PG&E CRM

Lead Inspector: James Zhang

Operator Representative: Rosa Duenas

Unsatisfactory Results

CRM, SCADA, and Leak Detection: Fatigue Management (CR.CRMFM)

Question Title, ID	Fatigue Education, CR.CRMFM.FATIGUEEDUCATE.R
Question	22. Is periodic fatigue education/training documented for all controllers and control room supervisors?
References	192.631(d)(2) (192.631(d)(3))
Assets Covered	Main Office (Specialized Inspections) (CRM)
Issue Summary	<p>SED reviewed schedules and time sheets of Control Room Management staff on 4 randomly selected months (October 2020, August 2021, December 2022, July 2023) in the audit window. From these records, a selection of controllers were picked and fatigue management training qualifications were reviewed. According to PG&E's procedure,</p> <p><i>CRM - Fatigue Mitigation TD-4436P-02, GCC personnel must complete fatigue training, covering the following topics, once each calendar year, not to exceed 15 months to the date:</i></p> <p><i>a. Fatigue mitigation strategies</i></p>

b. Fatigue recognition

c. Fatigue mitigation

SED found two controller personnel in violation of PG&E procedures by not having fatigue training complete within the 15-month period. Personnel with LAN ID G1MR had a gap of 16 months between trainings, and personnel with LAN ID ALN6 has been an active controller since 2020, but the latest fatigue training was completed in 6/6/2021, meaning they haven't completed the training from the years of 2022 and 2023 when they were a controller.

According to 49 CFR §192.605(a):

Each operator shall prepare and follow for each pipeline, a manual of written procedures for conducting operations and maintenance activities and for emergency response.

Since PG&E personnel didn't have fatigue trainings done within the established timeframe, according to PG&E's procedure, SED finds PG&E in violation of 49 CFR §192.605(a).

Concerns

CRM, SCADA, and Leak Detection: CRM Roles and Responsibilities (CR.CRMRR)

Question Title, ID	Shift Change Process - Documentation, CR.CRMRR.HANDOVERDOC.R
Question	14. Are there records that document the hand-over of responsibility, document the time the actual hand-over of responsibility occurs, and the key information and topics that were communicated during the hand-over?
References	192.631(b)(4) (192.631(c)(5))
Assets Covered	Main Office (Specialized Inspections) (CRM)
Issue Summary	SED reviewed a selection of PG&E's shift change forms from 2020-2023 as well as observed the evening shift changes on December 4, 2024 and the associated forms. SED observed that PG&E controllers will round to the hour their shift ends, such as 1700 or 1800, rather than entering the actual time of the shift change. SED is concerned about this lack of precision in data. This practice does not accurately document the actual time the shift change occurs. Also, PG&E's shift change forms for the Senior Gas System Operator (SGSO) and the Gas System Controller (GSC) contain notes fields that automatically roll over from one shift change form to another. These notes fields can be edited by the controller at the desk and some fields contain an extensive list of notes. SED observed that during shift changes, PG&E controllers do not review every item

with the incoming controller because conditions have not changed during their shift or perhaps over several days. SED is concerned the notes on the shift change form do not reflect the actual items that were discussed during shift changes. SED is aware that the information in those notes may be items that the controllers need to know, but if they are not pertinent at the time of the shift change then the information can be documented somewhere else.

CRM, SCADA, and Leak Detection: Fatigue Management (CR.CRMFM)

Question Title, ID	Scheduled Shift Length, CR.CRMFM.SHIFTLENGTH.R
Question	5. Is the scheduled shift length less than or equal to 12 hours (not including shift hand-over) or is there a documented technical basis to show that shift lengths and schedule rotations are adequate to provide controllers off-duty time sufficient to achieve 8 hours of continuous sleep?
References	192.631(d)(1) (192.631(a))
Assets Covered	Main Office (Specialized Inspections) (CRM)
Issue Summary	SED reviewed control room staff schedules, which are annotated by controllers with changes as people miss shifts and on-call personnel fill in gaps. After reviewing time sheets, SED found that staff self-report hours worked. Being reliant on personnel self-reporting hours lacks the accuracy that could pinpoint issues. Since the accuracy of time-keeping is reliant on self-reporting, it makes it difficult to get accurate records for demonstrating that shift lengths are adequate to provide sufficient off-duty time to achieve eight hours of continuous sleep. SED is concerned about this lack of precision in data, as this practice does not accurately document the actual time the shift change occurs.
Question Title, ID	Scheduled Time Off Between Shifts, CR.CRMFM.SCHEDULEDTIMEOFF.R
Question	7. Are all scheduled periods of time off at least one hour longer than 8 hours plus commute time or is there a documented technical basis to show that shift lengths and schedule rotations are adequate to provide controllers off-duty time sufficient to achieve 8 hours of continuous sleep?
References	192.631(d)(1)
Assets Covered	Main Office (Specialized Inspections) (CRM)
Issue Summary	SED reviewed control room staff schedules, which are annotated by controllers with changes as people miss shifts and on-call personnel fill in gaps. After reviewing time sheets, SED found that staff self-report hours worked. Being reliant on personnel self-reporting hours lacks the accuracy that could pinpoint issues. Since the accuracy of time keeping is reliant on self-reporting, it makes it difficult to get accurate records for

demonstrating that shift lengths are adequate to provide sufficient off-duty time to achieve eight hours of continuous sleep. SED is concerned about this lack of precision in data, as this practice does not accurately document the actual time the shift change occurs.

CRM, SCADA, and Leak Detection: Change Management (CR.CRMCMGT)

Question Title, ID	Field Equipment Changes, CR.CRMCMGT.EQUIPMENTCHANGES.P
Question	1. Is there a process to assure changes in field equipment that could affect control room operations are coordinated with the control room personnel?
References	192.631(f)(1)
Assets Covered	Main Office (Specialized Inspections) (CRM)
Issue Summary	SED reviewed PG&E's utility procedure TD-4436P-04 "Gas System Operations CRM - Management of Pipeline Changes." Section 1 of TD-4436P-04 outlines the process to assess changes in field equipment while adhering to the communication management plan. This procedure also references procedure TD-4441S "Gas Clearances", which covers the communication portion. However, SED also reviewed a selection of bulletins/trainings that went out to the controllers as part of their Management of Change (MoC) process. PG&E stated that these trainings are usually published ahead of the actual change. SED reviewed 16 out of 380 trainings that were sent to controllers under their MoC process during our audit period. Of those 16 MoCs, 11 involved changes to the SCADA system. When comparing the release date of the training compared to the implementation date of the training, SED found that 7 MoCs were released on the same day that the changes were made so the controllers did not have the opportunity to complete the training on the changes before the updates were made. For the other 4 SCADA related MoCs, SED found that many of the controllers did not complete the training before the effective date of the change. For example, MOC TB 21-100 "Transmission Lines with associated MP to Distribution SCADA PT1" was released on 12/7/21 with an implementation date of 12/13/21. The records show that only 16 of 35 controllers completed it before the implementation date. It is crucial for a controller to be trained on or be aware of an MoC before taking control of a console. Regardless of whether or not the changes are communicated in other ways, PG&E's system of record does not show that all controllers have been trained on all vital information before taking control of a console.

CRM, SCADA, and Leak Detection: Training (CR.CRMTRAIN)

Question Title, ID	Review of Procedures Prior to Use, CR.CRMTRAIN.INFREQOPSREVIEW.P
--------------------	--

Question	13. Do processes specify that, for pipeline operating set-ups that are periodically (but infrequently) used, the controllers must be provided an opportunity to review relevant procedures in advance of their use?
References	192.631(h)(5)
Assets Covered	Main Office (Specialized Inspections) (CRM)
Issue Summary	SED reviewed the training that PG&E releases annually for their winter gas season, which is the gas operating set-up that PG&E has determined is periodically (but infrequently) used. PG&E stated that the winter gas season usually starts around November 15th every year but can sometimes start later depending on cold weather events. SED also reviewed the completion record for controllers completing the training during our audit period of 2020-2023. SED found several instances of controllers not completing this training until December or later, and in 6 instances not until the next calendar year. As part of their response, PG&E stated that implementation of design settings for the set-up would also be communicated using their Gas Logging System and during shift changes. However, if PG&E intends the training to be a refresher for this set up each year and also the system of record for training completion, they need to ensure that the controllers have completed this training before the infrequently used set-ups are implemented and before taking control of a console with the new set-up.
Question Title, ID	Control Room Team Training - Exercises, CR.CRMTRAIN.TEAMTRAINEXERCISE.R
Question	18. Do records indicate that training exercises were adequate and involved at least one qualified controller?
References	192.631(h)(6)
Assets Covered	Main Office (Specialized Inspections) (CRM)
Issue Summary	SED reviewed PG&E's team training records from 2020-2023 as well as the content of the team training that PG&E has been using for the duration of our audit period. SED has no issues with the content of the team training and PG&E informed SED that the class was almost always led by a qualified controller even if one could not attend. However, PG&E was unable to provide records that showed which departments were involved in a given iteration of team training. Records provided only showed the names of the individuals or their current position, not the position they held at the time of training. SED believes that it is important that PG&E keep records of which departments are represented in an instance of a team training class, given that the intent of team training is that different departments of a company are learning how to work together more effectively.