

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



May 22, 2024

CA2024-1178

Brenda Shepard
Chief Financial Officer
Volcano Telephone Company
20000 Hwy 88
Pine Grove, CA 95665

SUBJECT: Communications Infrastructure Provider (CIP) Audit of Volcano Telephone Company's Pine Grove Service Area

Ms. Shepard:

On behalf of the Electric Safety and Reliability Branch (ESRB) of the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), Stephen Lee and Gordon Szeto of ESRB staff conducted an CIP audit of Volcano Telephone Company's (Volcano) Pine Grove Service Area from March 18, 2024, through March 22, 2024. During the audit, ESRB staff conducted field inspections of Volcano's facilities and equipment and reviewed pertinent documents and records.

As a result of the audit, ESRB staff identified violations of General Order (GO) 95 and GO 128. A copy of the audit findings itemizing the violations and observations is enclosed.

Please provide a response no later than June 21, 2024, via electronic copy of all corrective actions and preventive measures taken by Volcano to correct the identified violations and prevent the recurrence of such violations and observations.

Please note that ESRB will be posting the audit report and your response to our audit on the CPUC website. If there is any information in your response that you would like us to consider as confidential, we request that in addition to your confidential response, you provide us with a public version (a redacted version of your confidential response) to be posted on our website.

If you have any questions concerning this audit, please contact Stephen Lee at (916) 661-2353 or Stephen.Lee@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Rickey Tse".

Rickey Tse, P.E.
Program and Project Supervisor
Electric Safety and Reliability Branch
Safety and Enforcement Division
California Public Utilities Commission

Enclosure: CPUC Audit Findings of Volcano Telephone Company Pine Grove Service Area

Cc: Lee Palmer, Director, Safety and Enforcement Division (SED), CPUC
Nika Kjetsli, Program Manager, ESRB, SED, CPUC
Fadi Daye, Program and Project Supervisor, ESRB, SED, CPUC
Yi 'Rocky' Yang, Senior Utilities Engineer (Supervisor), ESRB, SED, CPUC
Stephen Lee, Senior Utilities Engineer (Specialist), ESRB, SED, CPUC
Gordon Szeto, Utilities Engineer, ESRB, SED, CPUC
Madonna Ebrahimof, Staff Services Analyst, ESRB, SED, CPUC

**CPUC AUDIT FINDINGS OF
VOLCANO TELEPHONE COMPANY – PINE GROVE SERVICE AREA
MARCH 18 – MARCH 22, 2024**

I. Records Review

During the audit, Electric Safety and Reliability Branch (ESRB) staff reviewed the following records:

- A description of Volcano Telephone Company’s (Volcano) Overhead and Underground maintenance program.
- Volcano’s Facility Statistics for its Pine Grove Service Area as of January 2024, including miles of overhead lines, miles of underground lines, number of poles, number of vaults, and number of pedestals.
- Volcano’s Pine Grove Facility Maps as of January 2024.
- Volcano’s Pine Grove Service Area Inspection Data containing data for the inspected facility type, facility location, fire threat district location, and inspection date from January 2019 through January 2024.
- Volcano’s overhead and underground work orders created between January 2019 through January 2024.
- Safety Hazards Notifications Volcano Received from Third Party Utilities from January 2019 through January 2024.
- Safety Hazard Notifications Volcano Sent to Third Party Utilities from January 2019 through January 2024.
- Volcano’s new construction projects in the Pine Grove Service Area from January 2019 through January 2024.

II. Records Violations

ESRB observed the following violations during the record review portion of the audit:

1. GO 95, Rule 21.2, Districts, states in part:

“D. High Fire-Threat District means those areas comprised of the following:

- (1) Zone 1 is Tier 1 of the latest version of the United States Forest Service (USFS) and CAL FIRE’s joint map of Tree Mortality High Hazard Zones (HHZs). (Note: The Tree Mortality HHZs Map may be revised regularly by the USFS and CAL FIRE.)*
- (2) Tier 2 is Tier 2 of the CPUC Fire-Threat Map.*
- (3) Tier 3 is Tier 3 of the CPUC Fire-Threat Map.”*

GO 95, Rule 18-B, Maintenance Programs states in part:

“Each company (including electric utilities and communications companies) shall establish and implement an auditable maintenance program for its facilities and lines for the purpose of ensuring that they are in good condition so as to conform to these rules. Each company must describe in its auditable maintenance program the required qualifications for the company representatives who perform inspections and/or who schedule corrective actions. Companies that are subject to GO 165 may maintain procedures for conducting inspections and maintenance activities in compliance with this rule and with GO 165.

The auditable maintenance program must include, at a minimum, records that show the date of the inspection, type of equipment/facility inspected, findings, and a timeline for corrective actions to be taken following the identification of a potential violation of GO 95 or a Safety Hazard on the company’s facilities.

- (1) Companies shall undertake corrective actions within the time periods stated for each of the priority levels set forth below.*

Scheduling of corrective actions within the time periods below may be based on additional factors, including the following factors, as appropriate:

- Type of facility or equipment;*
- Location, including whether the Safety Hazard or potential violation is located in the High Fire-Threat District;*
- Accessibility;*
- Climate;*
- Direct or potential impact on operations, customers, electrical company workers, communications workers, and the general public.*

(a) *The maximum time periods for corrective actions associated with potential violation of GO 95 or a Safety Hazard are based on the following priority levels:*

- (i) *Level 1 -- An immediate risk of high potential impact to safety or reliability:*
 - *Take corrective action immediately, either by fully repairing or by temporarily repairing and reclassifying to a lower priority.*

- (ii) *Level 2 -- Any other risk of at least moderate potential impact to safety or reliability:*
 - *Take corrective action within specified time period (either by fully repair or by temporarily repairing and reclassifying to Level 3 priority). Time period for corrective action to be determined at the time of identification by a qualified company representative, but not to exceed: (1) six months for potential violations that create a fire risk located in Tier 3 of the High Fire-Threat District; (2) 12 months for potential violations that create a fire risk located in Tier 2 of the High Fire-Threat District; (3) 12 months for potential violations that compromise worker safety; and (4) 36 months for all other Level 2 potential violations.*

- (iii) *Level 3 -- Any risk of low potential impact to safety or reliability:*
 - *Take corrective action within 60 months [...]*

GO 95, Rule 31.2, Inspection of Lines states in part:

“Lines shall be inspected frequently and thoroughly for the purpose of ensuring that they are in good condition so as to conform with these rules. Lines temporarily out of service shall be inspected and maintained in such condition as not to create a hazard.”

GO 95, Rule 80.1, Inspection Requirements for Communication Lines states in part:

“A. Patrol and Detailed Inspections

(1) Inspection Requirements for Joint-Use Poles in High Fire-Threat District

In Tiers 2 and 3 of the High Fire-Threat District, the inspection intervals for (i) Communication Lines located on Joint Use Poles (See Rule 21.8) that contain Supply Circuits (See Rule 20.6-D), and (ii) Communication Lines attached to a pole that is within three spans of a Joint Use Pole with Supply Circuits, shall not exceed the time specified in the following Table.

<i>Inspection</i>	<i>Tier 2</i>	<i>Tier 3</i>
<i>Patrol</i>	<i>2 Years</i>	<i>1 Year</i>
<i>Detailed</i>	<i>10 Years</i>	<i>5 Years</i>

[...]

Inspections in the High Fire-Threat District shall be planned and conducted in accordance with the statewide inspection requirements and procedures described in Rule 80.1-A(2), below.

Each company’s procedures shall describe (i) the methodology used to ensure that all Communication Lines are subject to the required inspections, and (ii) the procedures used for specifying what problems should be identified by the inspections. The procedures used for specifying what problems should be identified by the inspections shall include a checklist for patrol inspections.

(2) Statewide Inspection Requirements

Each company shall prepare, follow, and modify as necessary, procedures for conducting patrol or detailed inspections for all of its Communication Lines throughout the State. Consistent with Rule 31.2, the type, frequency and thoroughness of inspections shall be based upon the following factors:

- Fire threat*
- Proximity to overhead power line facilities*
- Terrain*
- Accessibility*
- Location, including whether the Communications Lines are located in the High Fire-Threat District*

Each company that discovers a safety hazard on or near a communications facility or electric facility involving another company while performing inspections of its own facilities pursuant to this rule shall notify the other company and/or facility owner of such safety hazard in accordance with Rule 18-A3.

Each company’s procedures shall describe (i) the methodology used to ensure that all Communication Lines are subject to the required inspections, and (ii) the procedures used for specifying what problems should be identified by the inspections. The procedures used for specifying what problems should be identified by the inspections shall include a checklist for patrol inspections. [...]

B. Intrusive Inspections in the High Fire-Threat District

Wood poles in Tier 3 of the High Fire-Threat District that support only Communication Lines or equipment shall be intrusively inspected in accordance with the schedule established in General Order 165 if they are: [...]

- *Within one span of a joint-use pole supporting supply lines in Northern California [...]*

CIPs shall maintain records for the life of the pole that provide the following information for each wood pole subject to this rule: The location of the pole, the date of each intrusive inspection, the results of each inspection, the personnel who performed each intrusive inspection, the date and description of each corrective action, and the personnel who performed each correction action. Commission staff may inspect records consistent with Public Utilities Code Section 314(a).”

GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction, and Maintenance states in part:

“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service.

For all particulars not specified in these rules, design, construction, and maintenance should be done in accordance with accepted good practice for the given local conditions known at the time by those responsible for the design, construction, or maintenance of [the] communication or supply lines and equipment.”

Volcano lacks a written maintenance program that factors in requirements for High Fire-Threat Districts (HTFD). The Fire-Threat Map and Geographic Information System data can be found on the CPUC’s “Fire-Threat Maps and Fire-Safety Rulemaking” website¹ and the data must be incorporated into the maintenance program for Volcano’s entire service territory.

As a result of Volcano not including HTFD data into its maintenance program, ESRB identified that many maintenance requirements such as patrol inspections, detailed inspections, intrusive inspections, and work order prioritization are not being performed in accordance with GO 95, as detailed in the following sections below:

¹ <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/wildfires/fire-threat-maps-and-fire-safety-rulemaking>

Patrols and Detailed Inspections

Volcano lacks written procedures detailing how often it conducts inspections of its Communication Lines to comply with GO 95, Rule 80.1-A.(1) and (2). Volcano's current Overhead Maintenance Policies² is a single sentence that states there is a spring construction crew that inspects and brushes one of three major aerial lines annually. The current procedure does not ensure that all Communication Lines are subject to their required Patrol and Detailed Inspection intervals.

In response to the Pre-Audit Data Request Item #2, Statistics³, Volcano indicated its overhead facilities are attached to 464 poles in the Pine Grove Service Area. ESRB reviewed the provided inspection records between January 1, 2019, through January 1, 2024⁴, and identified that only 179 poles were inspected during this five-year timeframe. Because Volcano does not distinguish the HFTD location for all of its poles and the list did not distinguish if the inspections were patrol inspections or detailed inspections, ESRB could not determine if Volcano is conducting its patrols and detailed inspections in accordance with GO 95, Rule 80.1-A.(1) requirements.

Intrusive Inspections

Volcano did not provide evidence that it intrusively inspects its applicable wood poles in accordance with GO 95, Rule 80.1-B intervals. Following the audit, Volcano identified it has seven solely owned wood poles in Tier 3 HFTD in its Pine Grove Service Area⁵. Four of these poles are older than 15 years old and may be due for intrusive inspections if they meet the requirements in GO 95, Rule 80.1-B.

Work Order Prioritization

Volcano does not have consistent practices for prioritizing its overhead and underground corrective work orders. In the work order list provided in the pre-audit data request⁶, Volcano includes Assigned Corrective Action Due Dates; however, these due dates are inconsistent and do not have any perceived prioritization. For example, Volcano created Work Order "V01-18-00754" on November 27, 2018, for a "bad service drop" in a Tier 2 HFTD and assigned 65 days to complete the work with a due date of January 31, 2019. Volcano had another similar Work Order "V01-19-00105" for a "bad service drop" in a Tier 2 HFTD, which was identified on February 12, 2019, and assigned 15 days to complete the work with a due date of February 28, 2019. Although both Work Order examples were for replacing bad service drops in Tier 2 HFTD, they were assigned inconsistent timeframes to complete the work.

Similarly for its underground facilities, Volcano created Work Order "V01-21-00416" on July 3, 2021, to repair a damaged underground service in a Tier 2 HFTD and assigned 880 days to complete the work with an assigned due date of November 30, 2023.

ESRB notes that nearly all the Assigned Corrective Action Due Dates coincidentally coincided with the Corrective Action Completion Date.

² 4. *Volcano maintenance policies.docx*

³ *CA2024-1178 records review.docx*

⁴ 6. *puc inspection record 2024.xlsx*

⁵ *VOLCANO SO POLE TIER 3.xlsx*

⁶ *PUC WO LIST 2019 TO 2024 COMPLETED.xlsx*

Volcano’s lack of prioritizing violations and safety hazards was noted 13 years ago in the Programmatic Violations in ESRB’s 2011 Audit of Volcano Communications, audit number CA2011-003. In Volcano’s response to this violation, Volcano indicated it resolved this issue by creating “new pole/pole support reports.” However, ESRB did not find evidence that shows Volcano appropriately prioritizes its work orders for correcting violations and safety hazards. Volcano must follow the timeframes in GO 95, Rule 18-B for its overhead work orders.

2. GO 128, Rule 42.2, Manholes and Handholes, Maintenance and Inspection states:

“See Rules 12.2 and 17.2.”

GO 128, Rule 12.2, Maintenance states:

“Systems shall be maintained in such condition as to secure safety to workmen and the public in general. Systems and portions thereof constructed, reconstructed, or replaced on or after the effective date of these rules shall be kept in conformity with the requirement of these rules.”

GO 128, Rule 17.2, Inspection states:

“Systems shall be inspected by the operator frequently and thoroughly for the purpose of insuring that they are in good condition and in conformance with all applicable requirements of these rules (See Rule 12.3).”

In Volcano’s response to the Records Review Violations in ESRB’s 2016 Audit of Volcano Communications, audit number CA2016-013, Volcano indicated it “implemented a Pull Box Inspection process similar to its pole and aerial check sheet.” Eight years later during this audit in 2024, Volcano could not provide evidence it was completing these underground Pull Box Inspections.

Furthermore, Volcano’s Underground Maintenance Policies⁷ do not reflect the implementation of the Pull Box Inspections. The current policy only describes the inspection and cleaning of remote switch locations. If the Pull Box Inspections are part of Volcano’s routine underground maintenance and inspection program, Volcano must document this practice in its procedures.

3. GO 95, Rule 80.1-A.(1), Inspection Requirements for Joint-Use Poles in High Fire-Threat District and GO 95, Rule 80.1-A.(2), Statewide Inspection Requirements both state in part:

“Each company’s procedures shall describe (i) the methodology used to ensure that all Communication Lines are subject to the required inspections, and (ii) the procedures used for specifying what problems should be identified by the

⁷ 4. Volcano maintenance policies.docx

inspections. The procedures used for specifying what problems should be identified by the inspections shall include a checklist for patrol inspections.”

GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction, and Maintenance states in part:

“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service.

As noted in Volcano’s response to Pre-Audit Data Request Item #5, Training Program⁸, Volcano lacks a formal training program for GO 95 and GO 128 inspections. Volcano must develop procedures so it can educate its inspectors about problems that should be identified during both overhead and underground inspections.

4. GO 95, Rule 80.1-A.(1), Inspection Requirements for Joint-Use Poles in High Fire-Threat District and GO 95, Rule 80.1.A.(2) Statewide Inspection Requirements both state in part:

“Each company’s procedures shall describe (i) the methodology used to ensure that all Communication Lines are subject to the required inspections, and (ii) the procedures used for specifying what problems should be identified by the inspections. The procedures used for specifying what problems should be identified by the inspections shall include a checklist for patrol inspections.”

GO 95, Rule 80.1-A.(3) Definitions, Patrol Inspections states:

“For the purpose of this rule, Patrol Inspection shall be defined as a simple visual inspection, of applicable communications facilities equipment and structures that is designed to identify obvious structural problems and hazards. Patrol inspections may be carried out in the course of other company business.”

Patrol inspections, defined in Rule 80.1-A.(3), require a checklist that specifies problems that inspectors should identify. Volcano did not have a checklist for performing patrol inspections. Following the audit, Volcano created a checklist for its patrol inspections⁹.

5. GO 95, Rule 80.1.A.(4) Record Keeping states:

“Each company shall maintain records for at least ten (10) years that provide the following information for each facility subject to this rule: The location of the facility, the date of each inspection of the facility, the results of each inspection, the personnel who performed each inspection, the date and description of each

⁸ CA2024-1178 records review.docx

⁹ patrol inspection check items.docx

corrective action, and the personnel who performed each correction action. Commission staff shall be permitted to inspect records consistent with Public Utilities Code Section 314 (a).”

The inspection records¹⁰ that Volcano provided in the Pre-Audit Data Request do not record the personnel who performed the inspection. Additionally, Volcano indicated that it only creates work orders for corrective actions that require the use of materials. Minor violations that are identified during its inspections are corrected on the spot and are not documented. These same issues were noted 13 years ago in the Programmatic Violations in ESRB’s 2011 Audit of Volcano Communications, audit number CA2011-003, and still have not been corrected.

¹⁰ *6. puc inspection record 2024.xlsx*

III. Field Inspection

During the field inspection, ESRB inspected the following facilities:

Location #	Equipment Type(s)	Approximate Latitude / Longitude	Approximate Address	City
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (Cable TV - CATV) 	38.4141324, -120.643807	14244 Aqueduct Rd - A	Pine Grove
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Power Inserter 	38.4135466, -120.643671	14244 Aqueduct Rd - B	Pine Grove
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.4133459, -120.643561	14244 Aqueduct Rd - C	Pine Grove
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vault 	38.4140260, -120.644329	14244 Aqueduct Rd - D	Pine Grove
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.4143331, -120.644041	14295 Pine Cone Lane - A	Pine Grove
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) 	38.4143680, -120.643913	14295 Pine Cone Lane - B	Pine Grove
7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.395681, -120.630528	12946 Aqueduct Grove Rd	Pine Grove
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Power Inserter • Pedestal (CATV) 	38.391806, -120.636849	12721 Tabeaud Rd	Pine Grove
9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) • Remote Switch 	38.388075, -120.634443	12416 Tabeaud Rd	Pine Grove
10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Backup Generator (Propane) • Power Supply • Power Inserter • Pedestal (CATV) 	38.3759966, -120.632148	11551 Gold Strike Rd	Pine Grove

Location #	Equipment Type(s)	Approximate Latitude / Longitude	Approximate Address	City
11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.3762645, -120.632100	11560 Gold Strike Rd	Pine Grove
12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole • Power Inserter • Pedestal (CATV) 	38.376875, -120.637156	11615 Clinton Bar Rd	Pine Grove
13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) 	38.376717, -120.637107	11580 Clinton Bar Rd	Pine Grove
14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.376438, -120.637376	11555 Clinton Bar Rd	Pine Grove
15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remote Switch • Pedestal (Phone) • Pedestal (CATV) • Vault 	38.427748, -120.617193	15140 Pioneer Volcano Rd - A	Pioneer
16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.427688, -120.617134	15140 Pioneer Volcano Rd - B	Pioneer
17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.4325137, -120.624155	15660 Pioneer Volcano Rd - A	Pioneer
18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.432375, -120.624251	15660 Pioneer Volcano Rd – B (Across creek towards quarry)	Pioneer
19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.432745, -120.624645	15660 Pioneer Volcano Rd – C (Across road bridge)	Pioneer
20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vault 	38.4105267, -120.656807	14074 Irish Town Rd – A	Pine Grove
21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vault 	38.410355, -120.656219	14074 Irish Town Rd - B	Pine Grove
22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.446209, -120.621124	165551 Rams Horn Grade	Volcano
23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.4866310, -120.597547	23080 Shake Ridge Rd - A	Volcano
24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.4866310, -120.598351	23080 Shake Ridge Rd - B	Volcano

Location #	Equipment Type(s)	Approximate Latitude / Longitude	Approximate Address	City
25	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.486641, -120.596450	23140 Shake Ridge Rd	Volcano
26	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.4870278, -120.594519	23141 Shake Ridge Rd	Volcano
27	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.4909212, -120.564323	19750 Ponderosa Dr	Volcano
28	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.490775, -120.565813	19799 Ponderosa Dr	Volcano
29	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.489530, -120.564474	19311 Mountain View Way	Volcano
30	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.491986, -120.567118	Intersection of Buckboard Dr and Ponderosa Dr	Volcano
31	• Pedestal / Cabinet (ODC-100)	38.492016, -120.567087	Intersection of Buckboard Dr and Ponderosa Dr	Volcano
32	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.491896, -120.567308	Intersection of Buckboard Dr and Ponderosa Dr	Volcano
33	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.466016, -120.687545	18171 Hale Rd	Volcano
34	• Vault	38.495789, -120.683299	18301 Holly Rd	Fiddletown
35	• “CRPS Mothership”	38.495824, -120.683351	18301 Holly Rd	Fiddletown
36	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.4863305, -120.675251	19191 Cedar Pines Dr	Fiddletown
37	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.4860384, -120.677007	19425 Cedar Pines Dr	Fiddletown
38	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.498390, -120.697121	17660 Fiddletown Rd	Fiddletown
39	• Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone)	38.3849789, -120.673219	12179 Irish Court	Jackson
40	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.3857870, -120.673455	12205 Irish Court	Jackson
41	• Pedestal (Phone)	38.3858117, -120.673292	12210 Irish Court	Jackson
42	• Pole	38.399394, -120.678426	13224 Taves Rd	Jackson
43	• Pole	38.3988717, -120.678008	13238 Taves Rd	Jackson

Location #	Equipment Type(s)	Approximate Latitude / Longitude	Approximate Address	City
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) 			
44	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.400250, -120.678896	13309 Taves Rd - A	Jackson
45	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.4000996, -120.678924	13309 Taves Rd - B	Jackson
46	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.400487, -120.679981	13399 Taves Rd	Jackson
47	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.4003384, -120.679626	13309 Taves Rd - C	Jackson
48	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vault 	38.402149, -120.686909	18300 Climax Rd	Jackson
49	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.402132, -120.686875	18300 Climax Rd	Jackson
50	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.402006, -120.686576	18314 Climax Rd	Jackson
51	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.401930, -120.685953	18340 Climax Rd	Jackson
52	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.402159, -120.685258	Intersection of Climax Rd and 18397 Climax Rd sign	Jackson
53	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.402274, -120.687160	18302 Bourbon St	Jackson
54	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.409249, -120.677785	Intersection of Rose Quartz Ct and Petersen Ranch Rd	Pine Grove
55	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.413931, -120.678331	18849 Ridge Rd	Pine Grove

Location #	Equipment Type(s)	Approximate Latitude / Longitude	Approximate Address	City
56	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Power Inserter • Pedestal (CATV) 	38.415527, -120.674710	14259 Lupe Rd	Pine Grove
57	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.415496, -120.674599	Across from 14259 Lupe Rd	Pine Grove
58	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.433762, -120.689251	15580 Marble Quarry Rd - A	Pine Grove
59	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.433570, -120.688334	15580 Marble Quarry Rd - B	Pine Grove
60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.433297, -120.687597	15580 Marble Quarry Rd - C	Pine Grove
61	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.433134, -120.687192	15580 Marble Quarry Rd - D	Pine Grove
62	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.432734, -120.686813	15580 Marble Quarry Rd - E	Pine Grove
63	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.434384, -120.689848	15580 Marble Quarry Rd - F	Pine Grove
64	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.414364, -120.697405	14100 Steven Ln - A	Pine Grove
65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.413779, -120.697253	14100 Steven Ln - B	Pine Grove
66	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.4132894, -120.697692	14001 Bowman Rd	Pine Grove
67	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.413188, -120.697561	14002 Bowman Rd	Pine Grove
68	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.413032, -120.697994	13981 Bowman Rd – A	Pine Grove
69	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.412713, -120.698226	13981 Bowman Rd - B	Pine Grove
70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tree 	38.4124246, -120.697656	13964 Bowman Rd	Pine Grove
71	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.397702, -120.739884	15436 Ridge Rd - A	Sutter Creek
72	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.397313, -120.740079	15428 Ridge Rd	Sutter Creek
73	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.397896, -120.739651	15436 Ridge Rd – B	Sutter Creek
74	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.398170, -120.739493	15436 Ridge Rd – C	Sutter Creek
75	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pole 	38.398421, -120.739314	15436 Ridge Rd – D	Sutter Creek
76	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.3997735, -120.732260	Intersection of New York Ranch Rd and Trent Way	Jackson
77	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestal (CATV) • Pedestal (Phone) 	38.399534, -120.730059	13125 Trent Way	Jackson

IV. Field Inspection Violations

ESRB identified the following violations during the field inspection:

1. GO 95, Rule 31.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance states in part:

“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service.”

ESRB’s findings are listed in Table 1:

Table 1: GO 95, Rule 31.1 Findings

Location #	Findings
16	The service drop’s outer shielding is broken.
51	Volcano needs to transfer its facilities to the new pole.
72	Volcano needs to transfer its facilities to the new pole.
73	Volcano needs to transfer its facilities to the new pole.

2. GO 95, Rule 31.6, Abandoned Lines states:

“Lines or portions of lines permanently abandoned shall be removed by their owners so that such lines shall not become a public nuisance or a hazard to life or property. For the purposes of this rule, lines that are permanently abandoned shall be defined as those lines that are determined by their owner to have no foreseeable future use.”

ESRB’s findings are listed in Table 2:

Table 2: GO 95, Rule 31.6 Findings

Location #	Findings
14	There is an abandoned telephone service drop.
70	There is an abandoned telephone service drop.

3. GO 95, Rule 38, Table 2, Case 16-C requires the following:

The radial separation of conductors on the same crossarm, pole or structure between conductors, taps or lead wires of different circuits requires at least three inches of separation from communication conductors.

ESRB’s finding is listed in Table 3:

Table 3: GO 95, Rule 38, Table 2, Case 16-C Finding

Location #	Finding
11	Volcano’s span is contacting AT&T’s span.

4. GO 95, Rule 84.4-A(6), Clearances, Across or along Public Thoroughfares states:

“Communication conductors over or across public thoroughfares shall have a clearance of 18 feet above ground (Table 1, Case 3, Column B). A reduced clearance to 16 feet is permitted for the portions of communication conductors where no part of the line overhangs any part of the thoroughfare which is ordinarily traveled, or where the line is behind an established curb, ditch or berm that serves to protect such communication conductors from encroachment by vehicular traffic.”

ESRB’s findings are listed in Table 4:

Table 4: GO 95, Rule 84.4-A(6) Findings

Location #	Findings
10	The phone span is only 17’ 8” above ground at the center of the road.
43	The cable span is only 17’ 5” above ground at the center of the road.
46	The cable span and service drop are only 17’ 9” above ground at the center of the road.

5. GO 95, Rule 84.6-B, Ground Wires states:

“Ground wires, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground wires on grounded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of wood or metal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8–A, for a distance above ground sufficient to protect against mechanical injury, but in no case shall such distance be less than 7 feet. Such covering may be omitted providing the ground wire in this 7 foot section has a mechanical strength at least equal to the strength of No. 6 AWG medium–hard–drawn copper.

Portions of ground wires which are on the surface of wood poles and within 6 feet vertically of unprotected supply conductors supported on the same pole, shall be covered with a suitable protective covering (see Rule 22.8)."

ESRB's finding is listed in Table 5:

Table 5: GO 95, Rule 84.6-B Finding

Location #	Finding
43	The wooden ground molding does not completely cover the ground wire at a section about one foot from the ground surface.

6. GO 95, Rule 84.8-C(2)(b), Service Drops, Clearances above Ground and Buildings, Above Private Thoroughfares or Private property states:

"Residential Premises: Over residential driveways, lanes or over property accessible to vehicles, service drops shall not be less than 12 feet.

EXCEPTION: If the building served does not permit an attachment which will provide this 12 foot clearance without the installation of a structure on the building, the clearance shall be as great as possible, but in no case less than 10 feet."

ESRB's finding is listed in Table 6:

Table 6: GO 95, Rule 84.8-C(2)(b) Finding

Location #	Finding
71	The service drop is only 9' 9" above the customer's driveway.

7. GO 95, Rule 86.2, Use states in part:

"Guys shall be attached to structures as nearly as practicable at the center of load. They shall be maintained taut and of such strength as to meet the safety factors of Rule 44."

ESRB's findings are listed in Table 7:

Table 7: GO 95, Rule 86.2 Findings

Location #	Findings
49	The anchor guy is loose.

Location #	Findings
50	The overhead span guy is loose. Volcano indicated that both poles on this span are scheduled to be replaced by PG&E.
62	The anchor guy is loose.
75	The anchor guy is loose.

8. GO 95, Rule 86.7-B, Anchor Guys states in part:

“In order to prevent trees, buildings, messengers, metal–sheathed cables or other similar objects from grounding portions of guys above guy insulators, it is suggested that anchor guys be sectionalized, where practicable, near the highest level permitted by this Rule 86.7–B.”

ESRB’s findings are listed in Table 8:

Table 8: GO 95, Rule 86.7-B Findings

Location #	Findings
19	Vegetation is contacting the anchor guy above the sectionalizing insulator.
69	Vegetation is contacting the anchor guy above the sectionalizing insulator.

9. GO 95, Rule 87.4-C(3), Clearances, Between Conductors and Cables, Attached to Poles states in part:

“Cables or messengers where attached to the surface of poles which support supply conductors, shall not be less than 6 feet vertically below the level of supply conductors.”

EXCEPTION: This minimum clearance of 6 feet may be reduced to not less than 4 feet below supply conductors of 0 - 750 volts provided a guard arm is placed above the messenger and cable (or self-supporting cable) in accordance with the provision of Rule 87.7–B (see Rule 21.0–D for guard arm definition). No cable or messenger shall be attached to the surface of such a pole less than 2 feet below the lowest level of communication conductors on crossarms unless a minimum horizontal separation of 30 inches is maintained between the messenger or cable and the communication conductors on the opposite side of pole.”

ESRB’s finding is listed in Table 9:

Table 9: GO 95, Rule 87.4-C(3) Finding

Location #	Finding
10	The phone span is attached above the guard arm and is less than six feet below the overhead transformer’s supply conductors.

10. GO 95, Rule 87.7-D(1), Risers states:

“Covered from Ground Level to 8 Feet above the Ground:

Risers shall be protected from the ground level to a level not less than 8 feet above the ground by:

(a) Securely or effectively grounded iron or steel pipe (or other covering at least of equal strength). When metallic sheathed cable rising from underground non-metallic conduit is protected by metallic pipe or moulding, such pipe or moulding shall be effectively grounded as specified in Rule 21.4–A, or

(b) Non-metallic conduit or rigid U-shaped moulding. Such conduit or moulding shall be of material as specified in Rule 22.8.”

ESRB’s findings are listed in Table 10:

Table 10: GO 95, Rule 87.7-D(1) Findings

Location #	Findings
19	The riser cables are coming out of the metallic riser cover.
53	The metallic riser cover protected only 7’ 1” of the riser above ground.
67	The plastic riser guard protects only about 4’ of the riser above ground.

11. GO 95, Rule 91.3-C, Stepping states:

“Where installed, the lowest step shall not be less than 8 feet from the ground line, or any easily climbable foreign structure from which one could reach or step. Above this point steps shall be placed, with spacing between steps on the same side of the pole not exceeding 36 inches, at least to that conductor level above which only circuits operated and maintained by one party remain. Steps or fixtures for temporary steps shall be installed as part of a pole restoration process. Steps shall be so placed that runs or risers do not interfere with the free use of the steps.”

ESRB’s finding is listed in Table 11:

Table 11: GO 95, Rule 91.3-C Finding

Location #	Finding
46	The lowest pole step is only 7’ 6” from the ground line.

12. GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance states in part:

“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service.”

ESRB’s findings are listed in Table 12:

Table 12: GO 128, Rule 17.1 Findings

Location #	Findings
1	The bonding ground wire had disconnected from the amplifier’s grounding lug. Volcano immediately reattached the bond during the audit.
3	The amplifier is not bonded to the ground rod.
10	The power inserter has sharp nails protruding about two inches out of the enclosure. Volcano immediately trimmed down the nails to remove the hazard.
12	The power inserter’s ground rod is exposed above ground.
15	The amplifier is not bonded to the ground rod.
55	The amplifier is not bonded to the ground rod.
56	The power inserter’s ground rod is exposed above ground.
77	The amplifier is not bonded to the ground rod.

13. GO 128, Rule 17.8, Identification of Manholes, Handholes, Subsurface and Self-contained Surface-mounted Equipment Enclosures states:

“Manholes, handholes, subsurface and self-contained surface mounted equipment enclosures shall be marked as to ownership to facilitate identification by persons authorized to work therein and by other persons performing work in their vicinity.”

ESRB’s findings are listed in Table 13:

Table 13: GO 128, Rule 17.8 Findings

Location #	Findings
2	The surface-mounted communication power inserter is not marked with any ownership identification.
8	The surface-mounted communication power inserter is not marked with any ownership identification.
10	The surface-mounted communication power inserter is not marked with any ownership identification.

14. GO 128, Rule 43.3-C, Depths states:

“Communication cables shall be installed at a minimum depth below the surface under which they are located as follows except as provided in Rule 43.3–D:

(1) Sidewalks, Parkways and Private Property: 12 inches.”

ESRB’s findings are listed in Table 14:

Table 14: GO 128, Rule 43.3-C Findings

Location #	Findings
7	The phone cable is not buried and is running across the ground surface. This condition was previously reported to Volcano on July 28, 2022, in the 3 rd Party Notification 124171867.
69	A section of the underground cable is no longer buried and is exposed above ground.

V. Observations

1. GO 95, Rule 18-A, Resolution of Potential Violations of General Order 95 and Safety Hazards states in part:

- (2) *“Where a communications company’s or an electric utility’s (Company A’s) actions result in potential violations of GO 95 for another entity (Company B), that entity’s (Company B’s) remedial action will be to transmit a single documented notice of identified potential violations to the communications company or electric utility (Company A) within a reasonable amount of time not to exceed 180 days after the entity discovers the potential violations of GO 95. If the potential violation constitutes a Safety Hazard, such notice shall be transmitted within ten (10) business days after the entity discovers the Safety Hazard.*
- (3) *If a company, while performing inspections of its facilities, discovers a Safety Hazard(s) on or near a communications facility or electric facility involving another company, the inspecting company shall notify the other entity of such Safety Hazard(s) no later than ten (10) business days after the discovery.*
- (4) *To the extent a company that has a notification requirement under (2) or (3) above cannot determine the facility owner/operator, it shall contact the pole owner(s) within ten (10) business days if the subject of the notification is a Safety Hazard, or otherwise within a reasonable amount of time not to exceed 180 days after discovery. The notified pole owner(s) shall be responsible for promptly (normally not to exceed five business days) notifying the company owning/operating the facility if the subject of the notification is a Safety Hazard, or otherwise within a reasonable amount of time not to exceed 180 days, after being notified of the potential violation of GO95.”*

Table 15 includes all non-Volcano (third-party) findings that ESRB observed during the audit:

Table 15: Observations

Location #	Observations
10	Vegetation is causing strain on AT&T’s service drops.
14	There are abandoned AT&T service drops. There are excessive and large woodpecker holes along the top of the pole and near the transformer’s through bolts
17	A large tree has a split trunk and is leaning towards PG&E’s primary conductors.