

Gien Carter Senior Director, Gas Engineering Gas Transmission and Distribution 375 N. Wiget Lane, Suite 170 Walnut Creek, CA 94598

925-974-4231 internal: 583-4231 Fax: 925-974-4220 Internet: GECj@pge.com

June 16, 2010

Mr. Paul Penney
Utilities Safety and Reliability Branch
Consumers Protection and Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor
San Francisco, CA. 94102-3298

Re: State of California – Public Utilities Commission

General Order 112-E Inspection – PG&E's Meridian District

Dear Mr. Penney:

The Utilities Safety and Reliability Branch (USRB) of the CPUC conducted a General Order 112-E inspection of PG&E's Meridian District, from April 5 through 9, 2010. The documents attached provide a detailed response to each of the inspection findings listed in your April 26, 2010 letter.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please contact Larry Berg at (925) 974-4084.

Sincerely,

Glen Carter

Senior-Director, Gas Engineering

Attachments

cc: Raffy Stepanian, California Public Utilities Commission

### INSPECTION INFORMATION

Inspection Dates	Finding	Finding CPUC Contact	
April 5-9, 2010	NOV - 1	Paul Penney	(415) 703-1817

#### INSPECTION FINDING

INSPECTION FINDING				
CPUC Finding	Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §192.745:			
	Title 49 CFR §192.745 (valve maintenance, transmission lines)			
· ·	states in part:			
	"(a) Each transmission line valve that might be required during any			
	emergency must be inspected and partially operated at intervals			
	not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year.			
	"(b) Each operator must take prompt remedial action to correct any valve			
	found inoperable, unless the operator designates an alternative valve."			
	We found that valve 116 missed it's maintenance in 2008 due to flooding. The valve was again operated in 2009. PG&E is in violation of this code section.			
	Where valves are inoperable, 192.745(b) allows an alternate valve to be designated. PG&E should designate and alternative valve for operation on the valve card even if the circumstances are temporary, as was the flooding in the case.			
Location(s):	Valve 116			

### **PG&E RESPONSE**

PG&E agrees with this finding.

To prevent recurrence, the Meridian District Gas Maintenance Supervisor has reviewed the requirements to address inaccessible valves with the Gas Mechanics during a tailboard briefing conducted April 29, 2010 (Attachment 1). The tailboard briefing instructed the gas mechanics to complete a corrective work request to ensure that the valve is retained in PG&E's maintenance scheduling system for maintenance at a later date. PG&E is also working on a procedure to provide direction for how and when to designate an alternative valve. This administrative work procedure should be published by the end of August, 2010.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment #	Title or Subject
1	Tailboard Briefing Sign-up Sheet

Definitions:

NOV – Notice of Violation

AOC - Area of Concern

AIR- Additional Information Requested

ACTION REQUIRED

Action To Be Taken	Due Date	Completion Date	Responsible Dept.
Tailboard requirements for inaccessible valves	4/29/2010	4/29/2010	Meridian District Gas Maintenance
Develop procedure for designation of alternate valves	8/31/10		Gas Engineering

Definitions:

NOV - Notice of Violation

AOC - Area of Concern

AIR- Additional Information Requested

## INSPECTION INFORMATION

Inspection Dates	Finding	CPUC Contact	CPUC Phone #
April 5-9, 2010	NOV - 2	Paul Penney	(415) 703-1817

## INSPECTION FINDING

_	INSPECTION FINDING			
ĺ	CPUC Finding	<u>Title 49 CFR §192.13 (c):</u>		
	_	Title 49 CFR §192.13 (c) states in part:		
		"Each operator shall maintain, modify as appropriate, and		
		follow the plans, procedures, and programs that it is		
		required to establish under this part."		
		According to PG&E's UO Standard S4110, Table 1, pg. 12, CGT transmission pipelines in class 3 and 4 areas should be leak surveyed twice each calendar year.		
		We found that a segment of pipe on Line L-172A was not leak surveyed twice in calendar year 2008 per PG&E's UO Standard S4110. The pipeline segment is further identified as sequence 126, book 38. A class location change was recorded on 9-11-2007. However, the requirement for two leak surveys per calendar year was not implemented until 2009. We believe PG&E should have implemented the change in class location in 2008 by doing two leak surveys.		
t	Location(s):	Sequence 126, book 38, L-172A survey group.		

## **PG&E RESPONSE**

PG&E agrees with this finding.

PG&E missed a semi-annual survey in survey group L-172A in 2008. The survey frequency changed from annual to semi-annual due to a class location change for this segment of pipe from class 1 to a class 3 in 2007 but it was not leak surveyed semi annually until 2009. With the implementation of WP 4110-05 (May, 2009), changes to a class location in a given year will be incorporated into the leak survey plan for the following year. Had WP 4110-05 been implemented in 2007, we believe this line would have been semi-annually leak surveyed in 2008. (Attached is a copy of the latest approved version of WP 4110-05 for your reference.)

## **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment #	Title or Subject	
2	WP 4110-05	

ACTION REQUIRED

Action To Be Taken	Due Date	Completion Date	Responsible Dept.
N/A			•